1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner		
2	DOUĞLAS M. GOODING Assistant Chief Counsel MIRANDA LEKANDER (State Bar No. 210082) Senior Counsel		
3			
4	Department of Business Oversight 1515 K Street, Suite 200		
5	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 322-8730 Facsimile: (916) 455-6985		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT		
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of:) NMLS No. 1238232	
12	THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS)) STIPULATION TO WITHDRAWAL OF	
13	OVERSIGHT,) MORTGAGE LOAN ORIGINATOR LICENSE	
14	Complainant,) APPLICATION)	
15	V.))	
16			
17	MICHAEL RYAN BARNETT,))	
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	This Stipulation is entered into between and the Commissioner of Business Oversight		
21	(Complainant or Commissioner) and Michael Ryan Barnett (Respondent or Barnett), and is made		
22	with respect to the following facts:		
23	I. RECITALS		
24	A. On November 13, 2014, the Respo	ondent filed an application for a mortgage loan	
25	originator license (MLO license application) with the Commissioner pursuant to section 22105.1 of		
26	the California Finance Lenders Law (Fin. Code, § 22000 et seq.). The application was for		
27	employment with or working on behalf of Assent, Inc. as a mortgage loan originator, which employer		
28			

has its principal place of business located at 18881 Von Karmon, Unit 1075, Irvine, California. The Respondent submitted his application to the Commissioner by filing Form MU4 through the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System & Registry (NMLS).

- B. On May 18, 2015, the Commissioner determined not to issue a mortgage loan originator license to Respondent pursuant to Financial Code section 22109.1 and issued a Statement of Issues in Support of Non-Issuance of Mortgage Loan Originator License (Statement of Issues). A true and correct copy of the Statement of Issues is attached and incorporated as Exhibit A.
- C. On May 22, 2015, Respondent requested an administrative hearing in regards to the Commissioner's determination not to issue him a mortgage loan originator license. The Office of Administrative Hearings has set a hearing for October 1, 2015.
- D. On or about June 4, 2015, Respondent, through the NMLS, filed to withdraw his MLO license application.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the terms and conditions set forth herein, the parties agree as follows:

II. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. This Stipulation is entered into for the purpose of judicial economy and expediency, and to avoid the expense of a hearing, and possible further court proceedings.
- 2. The Commissioner hereby consents to the pending withdrawal of the mortgage loan originator license application filed by Respondent on November 13, 2014 ("application withdrawal").
 - 3. Respondent agrees to withdraw his notice of defense and request for hearing.
- 4. In consideration of the Commissioner's consent to the application withdrawal, Respondent agrees that he will not apply for a mortgage loan originator license in the State of California for a period of two years from the effective date of this Stipulation, as such date is defined in Paragraph 11.
- 5. Respondent further agrees that in the event he applies for a mortgage loan originator license in the State of California prior to the expiration of the two-year period set forth in Paragraph 4, such application shall be deemed automatically denied. In connection with any such automatic

denial, Respondent hereby waives his right to any reconsideration, appeal or other right to review which may be afforded pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law, the Administrative Procedures Act, the Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law in connection therewith.

- 6. The Commissioner agrees to dismiss, without prejudice, the Statement of Issues filed against Respondent on May 18, 2015. A true and correct copy of the Dismissal Order is attached and incorporated herein as Exhibit B.
- 7. Each of the parties represents, warrants, and agrees that it has had the opportunity to seek independent advice from its attorney(s) and/or representatives with respect to the advisability of executing this Stipulation.
- 8. Each of the parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Stipulation it has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and has had the opportunity to seek the legal advice of its own counsel. Each of the parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Stipulation it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The parties have included this clause: (1) to preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Stipulation; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Stipulation.
- 9. This Stipulation is the final written expression and the complete and exclusive statement of all the agreements, conditions, promises, representations, and covenants between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, representations, understandings, and discussions between and among the parties, their respective representatives, and any other person or entity, with respect to the subject matter covered hereby.
- 10. In that the parties have had the opportunity to draft, review and edit the language of this Stipulation, no presumption for or against any party arising out of drafting all or any part of this Stipulation will be applied in any action relating to, connected, to, or involving this Stipulation.

Dated:

6/8/15

Accordingly, the parties waive the benefit of Civil Code section 1654 and any successor or amended
statute, providing that in cases of uncertainty, language of a contract should be interpreted most
strongly against the party who caused the uncertainty to exist.

- 11. This Stipulation shall not become effective until signed by Respondent and delivered by email to the Commissioner's counsel at Miranda.LeKander@dbo.ca.gov.
- 12. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be an original but all of which, together, shall be deemed to constitute a single document. This Stipulation may be executed by facsimile signature, and any such facsimile signature by any party hereto shall be deemed to be an original signature and shall be binding on such party to the same extent as if such facsimile signature were an original signature.
 - 13. Respondent acknowledges that the Stipulation and Dismissal Order are public records.

JAN LYNN OWEN

14. Each signator hereto covenants that he/she possesses all necessary capacity and authority to sign and enter into this Stipulation.

5.5.5	Commissioner of Business Oversight
	By MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division
Dated:6/5/15	By MICHAEL RYAN BARNETT, an individual